Worksheet B-3. Inventory Project Report (INPR) Checklist (Use space at bottom of this worksheet for continuation)

Checklist Preparer:		Date: 13 January 2009		
Name: Nickolas McHenry		Title: Civil Engineer		
District: Huntington	Phone Number	er: 304-399-5909		
Email address: nickolas.l.mchenry@usace.arm	ny.mil			
Property information:				
Property Name: WV Maneuver Area/Dolly So	Name: WV Maneuver Area/Dolly Sods Property #: G03WV0013		V0013	
(WVMA/DS)		1 3		
Previous Names, if any: WV Maneuver Area,	Dolly Sods Wi	lderness Area, West	Virginia	
Maneuver Area, Dolly Sods Region				
Former Service: U.S. Army				
Property Location: Congressional District 1, E	PA Region 3			
Street:				
City: Davis	County: Tuck	er, Grant, Preston,	State: WV	
	Pendleton, and	d Randolph		
Latitude (D/M/S): 39° 07' 08" North	Longitude (D/	/M/S): 79° 27' 09" West		
Current Use (residential, commercial, etc.): Primari	ly Government	(U.S. Forest Service	e), some	
commercial and residential			,	
Primary Property Owner Information (address	ss multiple owners	s in Comments):		
Name: Kate Goodrich, Public & Legislative A	ffairs			
Address (if other than above): U.S. Forest Service	,			
Street: 200 Sycamore St.		_		
City: Elkins				
Phone Number: 304-636-1800 ext. 220	County: Ranc	lolph	State: WV	

Indicate the status of the following checklist items in determining the completeness of the INPR. Provide a narrative in the comments section below to explain, and keyed to, the shaded boxes checked:

3114	ded boxes enecked.	Yes	2	Į₹
Pro	perty Document Search:			
\geq	Were the following records available and used in the preparation of the INP	R?		
1	Archive records	X		
2	Site maps, including facility as-built drawings	X		
3	Aerial or ground photographs	X		
4	Prior studies, documents, reports, property contamination records, or	X		
	public/private sampling data			•
5	Compliance orders issued to current or past owners/operators		X	
6	Real estate records, deeds, or property transfer records	X		
7	Local historical societies and public libraries	X		4.
8	EPA/State environmental records or reports	X		
9	EOD incident reports	X		
10	Other documentation	X		4.34 5.77

Pro	perty Visit:			
\geq	Indicate whether the following have been contacted and interviewed to obtain	infor	matic	on.
11	Current landowner(s)	X		
12	Neighbors	X		1, 1
13	Previous landowner(s)	X		
14	Prior employee(s)	X		
15	Federal agencies, including regulatory agencies	X		
16	State agencies, including regulatory agencies	X		
17	Local agencies, including regulatory and law enforcement agencies	X		
18	Other available sources	X		
19	Was access to the property possible (right of entry provided by landowner)?	X		
20	Was the property physically visited?	X		
21	Was access sufficient to allow for a thorough property inspection?	X		
22	Was access sufficient to identify potential hazards?	X		
23	Did regulatory agencies accompany USACE on the property visit?	X		
24	Did the landowner accompany USACE on the property visit?	X		
25	Was there evidence of a release of hazardous material or use/disposal of	X		
	military munitions during DoD control?			
26	Was there evidence of a release of potential DoD hazardous material into a		X	
	public or private drinking water supply? 1			
27	Is there evidence of a release into a public or private drinking water supply		X	
	due to deterioration of the system through ordinary use?	,		
28	Is there evidence of a release from products that are part of the structure of,		X	100
	and result in exposure within, residential buildings or businesses or			14
	community structures? ²			
29	Is some other program actively involved with the property (i.e., another	X		3
	Federal, state, or tribal program)?			
30	Is there evidence that activities by non-DoD parties at the property may be		X	
	the source of potential contamination?			
31	Was information on hazards found at similar types of FUDS properties	X		
	considered in identifying potential hazards at this property?			
32	Were site maps compared to actual conditions during the site visit?	X		
33	Were photographs taken?	X		
34	Were property owners advised to contact USACE if evidence of potential	X		
	hazards is found later?			
35	Was a trip report of the property visit prepared?	X		

¹ This can be determined by reviewing public water supply sampling data. Provide discussion of how it was

determined to be release due to DoD activities rather than by current or past owners/operators.

This question is from the EPA Pre-CERCLIS Screening Assessment Checklist/Decision Form, EPA-540-F-98-039 "Improving Site Assessment: Pre-CERCLIS Screening Assessments."

Pro	operty Eligibility Determination (refer to Chapter 3):			
36	Is the property Categorically Excluded?		X	
37	Are there release, hold harmless, "as-is", or indemnification clauses in		X	
	deeds or property transfer documents that limit DoD liability?		Λ	
38	Is there evidence of this property being a Third Party Site?		X	
39	Is the property eligible under FUDS?	X	71	
40	If necessary, has a "Categorical Exclusion or Ineligible Property"	1		X
	worksheet been prepared			
FU.	DS Property Screening:	•		
41	Was a CERCLA Preliminary Assessment completed?	X		
42	Was a RAC Worksheet prepared for the property?		X	
Dwo				Lan Garage
43	ject Eligibility Determination (refer to Chapter 3): Have all typical hazards been investigated for possible occurrence at this	V]	
15	type of property?	X		
44	Were hazards identified?	X		
45	Are identified hazards of DoD Origin?	X		
46	If identified hazards were of non-DoD origin, has the lead regulatory	_ <u> </u>		W.
-10	agency been informed? (Provide name, phone number, date)			X
47	Is the current owner under a RCRA or CERCLA clean-up order?		v	
48	Has the "right of first refusal" been exercised by an adjacent DoD		X	
70	installation?			X
49	Is there evidence of beneficial use?		X	
50	Are there other policy considerations against recommending a project?		X	
51	Are eligible FUDS projects recommended? (If yes, identify projects below)	X		
INP	PR Preparation and Review:	•		
52	Is the INPR prepared consistent with INPR Content Matrix (Table B-1)?	X		
53	Is the INPR Property Survey Summary Sheet consistent with Table B-2?	X		
54	Is the Project Summary Sheet(s) consistent with Table B-3?	X		
55	If appropriate, has a "BD/DR Project Summary Sheet Checklist" been	A.		X
	prepared? (See Worksheet B-2)			A
56	If the INPR recommends a PRP/HTRW project, has the PRP District			X
	reviewed the INPR? (See Figure B-1)			A
57	If the INPR recommends a PRP/HTRW project has the HTRW Center of			X
	Expertise reviewed the INPR? (See Figure B-1)			A
58	If the INPR recommends a MMRP or PRP/MMRP project, has the MM			
	Center of Expertise reviewed the INPR? (See Figure B-1)	X		
59	Was the draft INPR coordinated with Office of Counsel and Real Estate?	X		
60	Was the draft INPR shared with the Lead Regulatory Agency after internal			
	USACE review?	X		

Narrative comments to explain above notations: (Key your comments to the checklist item number)

10 and 18. The list of studies and reports reviewed in the preparation of this INPR are listed on pages 5 and 6 of Enclosure 1 in this document.

- **25.** The DA used the property for low altitude mountain training, regimental troop maneuvers, and artillery/mortar target practice during WWII. Numerous MEC and MD have been found on the property. Based on the discovered MEC and historical documentation, rounds fired during the 1943-1944 training included: 40-mm, 57-mm armor-piercing, 60-mm, 105-mm and 155-mm high explosive projectiles, 81-mm high explosive and smoke rounds, and 4.2-inch inert, high explosive, and smoke rounds.
- **29.** A large portion of the property is owned by the U.S. Forest Service and is part of the Monongahela National Forest and the Canaan Valley National Wildlife Refuge.
- **42.** The Military Munitions Site Prioritization Protocol (MRSPP) has replaced the RAC Worksheet. The results (Table 29) of the MRSPP for each MRS are included in this INPR with the associated Project Summary Sheets.
- 44, 45, 51. The following is a summary of the existing and proposed projects for this property:

G03WV001304 (MMRP) – Dolly Sods Removal. This existing MMRP project was approved on 25 May 1990 to address munitions-related contamination at an area of the property known as the Dolly Sods Region. While it was approved as MMRP project G03WV006500, all funding has been recorded under MMRP project G03WV001304. Consequently, this INPR seeks after-the-fact approval of this on-going MMRP project. The Dolly Sods Region consists of approximately 18,000 acres located in the northwest portion of the WV Maneuver Area/Dolly Sods property. Project 04 has transitioned to the Long-Term Management (LTM) phase. An Archives Search Report (ASR), Engineering Evaluation and Cost Analysis (EE/CA), Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS), and a Munitions and Explosives of Concern (MEC) removal action have been performed. Although a MEC removal action has been conducted, there is the potential that visitors could come in contact with MEC that still exists in the area because full ordnance clearance was not possible. The LTM phase includes a Public Awareness Program to inform the public of the ordnance hazards in the area. Periodic property inspections and Five-Year Ordnance and Explosives Recurring Reviews are also required to assure that the previous MEC removal action remains protective of the public.

G03WV001305 (MMRP) – *Dailey Infiltration Camp*. Project 05 is proposed to address the possible munitions-related contamination that may have occurred as a result of the Dailey Infiltration Camp being located on the property. This MMRP project will include all necessary CERCLA response actions required to obtain project close-out. A Project Summary Sheet including a project location map and the MRSPP results (Table 29) is included at Enclosure 5.

G03WV001306 (MMRP) – *WVMA Ammunition Depot*. Project 06 is proposed to address the possible munitions-related contamination that may have occurred as a result of the WMVA Ammunition Depot being located on the property. This MMRP project will include all necessary CERCLA response actions required to obtain project close-out. A Project Summary Sheet including a project location map and the MRSPP results (Table 29) is included at Enclosure 6.

Narrative comments to explain above notations: (Key your comments to the checklist item number)

G03WV001307 (MMRP) – Maneuver Area. Project 07 is proposed to address the possible munitions-related contamination that may have occurred as a result of the Maneuver Area being located on the property. This MMRP project will include all necessary CERCLA response actions required to obtain project close-out. A Project Summary Sheet including a project location map and the MRSPP results (Table 29) is included at Enclosure 7.

G03WV001308 (MMRP) – Fore Knobs-Bear Rocks Firing Ranges. Project 08 is proposed to address the possible munitions-related contamination that may have occurred as a result of the Fore Knobs-Bear Rocks Firing Ranges being located on the property. This MMRP project will include all necessary CERCLA response actions required to obtain project close-out. A Project Summary Sheet including a project location map and the MRSPP results (Table 29) is included at Enclosure 8.

G03WV001309 (MMRP) – Bearden Knob Firing Range. Project 09 is proposed to address the possible munitions-related contamination that may have occurred as a result of the Bearden Knob Firing Range being located on the property. This MMRP project will include all necessary CERCLA response actions required to obtain project close-out. A Project Summary Sheet including a project location map and the MRSPP results (Table 29) is included at Enclosure 9.

G03WV001310 (MMRP) – *Brown/Cabin Mountain Firing Ranges*. Project 10 is proposed to address the possible munitions-related contamination that may have occurred as a result of the Brown/Cabin Mountain Firing Ranges being located on the property. This MMRP project will include all necessary CERCLA response actions required to obtain project close-out. A Project Summary Sheet including a project location map and the MRSPP results (Table 29) is included at Enclosure 10.

G03WV001311 (MMRP) – Buena Small Arms Firing Range. Project 11 is proposed to address the possible munitions-related contamination that may have occurred as a result of the Buena Small Arms Range being located on the property This MMRP project will include all necessary CERCLA response actions required to obtain project close-out. A Project Summary Sheet including a project location map and the MRSPP results (Table 29) is included at Enclosure 11.